Information Rates for Phase Noise Channels

Luca Barletta Politecnico di Milano Iuca.barletta@polimi.it

ESIT 2019 Antibes, July 15th - 19th, 2019



L. Barletta - Information Rates for Phase Noise Channels

Joint collaboration with:

• Gerhard Kramer (Technische Universitaet Muenchen)

• Stefano Rini (National Chiao Tung University)





Outline



- 2 From continuous to discrete time
- 3 Finite Resolution Receivers
- 4 Capacity bounds

5 Conclusions

Outline



- 2 From continuous to discrete time
- 3 Finite Resolution Receivers
- 4 Capacity bounds

5 Conclusions

A Classic Communication Scheme



The AWGN Channel



The AWGN Channel



The Actual AWGN Channel



The Actual AWGN Channel



Phase noise processes Θ_{tx} and Θ_{rx}

The Actual AWGN Channel



Phase noise processes Θ_{tx} and Θ_{rx} Sampling at kT_{symb} is no longer optimal!

Phase noise arises due to

• Imperfections in the oscillator circuits at the transceivers

Phase noise arises due to

- Imperfections in the oscillator circuits at the transceivers
 - Even for high-quality oscillators: if the continuous-time waveform is processed by long filters at the receiver (e.g., long symbol time, OFDM systems), the phase uncertainty accumulates!

Phase noise arises due to

- Imperfections in the oscillator circuits at the transceivers
 - Even for high-quality oscillators: if the continuous-time waveform is processed by long filters at the receiver (e.g., long symbol time, OFDM systems), the phase uncertainty accumulates!
- Fast and slow fading effects in wireless environments

Phase noise arises due to

- Imperfections in the oscillator circuits at the transceivers
 - Even for high-quality oscillators: if the continuous-time waveform is processed by long filters at the receiver (e.g., long symbol time, OFDM systems), the phase uncertainty accumulates!
- Fast and slow fading effects in wireless environments
- Nonlinear propagation effects in fiber-optic commun. (amplitude mod. is converted into phase mod.
 - \rightarrow phase-noise strength depends also on signal amplitude)

Questions:

• Models for phase noise channels

Questions:

- Models for phase noise channels
- Impact of phase noise on channel capacity

Questions:

- Models for phase noise channels
- Impact of phase noise on channel capacity
- Signal and code design

Outline



- 2 From continuous to discrete time
- 3 Finite Resolution Receivers
- ④ Capacity bounds

5 Conclusions

• Assume input waveform $\{X(t)\}_{t=0}^{T}$ is square integrable:

$$X(t)\in \mathcal{L}^2[0,T]\longrightarrow \int_0^T |X(t)|^2 dt <\infty$$

• Assume input waveform $\{X(t)\}_{t=0}^{T}$ is square integrable:

$$X(t)\in \mathcal{L}^2[0,T]\longrightarrow \int_0^T |X(t)|^2 dt <\infty$$

$$\int_0^1 \phi_m(t)\phi_n(t)^* dt = \begin{cases} 1 & m = n \\ 0 & m \neq n \end{cases}$$

• Assume input waveform $\{X(t)\}_{t=0}^{T}$ is square integrable:

$$X(t)\in \mathcal{L}^2[0,T]\longrightarrow \int_0^T |X(t)|^2 dt <\infty$$

Let {\$\phi_m(t)\$}\$m be a complete orthonormal basis of \$\mathcal{L}^2[0, T]\$,
i.e.

$$\int_0^T \phi_m(t)\phi_n(t)^* dt = \begin{cases} 1 & m = n \\ 0 & m \neq n \end{cases}$$
$$X(t) = \sum_{m=1}^\infty X_m \phi_m(t), \qquad X_m = \int_0^T X(t)\phi_m(t)^* dt$$

• Assume input waveform $\{X(t)\}_{t=0}^{T}$ is square integrable:

$$X(t)\in \mathcal{L}^2[0,T]\longrightarrow \int_0^T |X(t)|^2 dt <\infty$$

Let {\$\phi_m(t)\$}\$m be a complete orthonormal basis of \$\mathcal{L}^2[0, T]\$,
i.e.

$$\int_0^T \phi_m(t)\phi_n(t)^* dt = \begin{cases} 1 & m = n \\ 0 & m \neq n \end{cases}$$
$$X(t) = \sum_{m=1}^\infty X_m \phi_m(t), \qquad X_m = \int_0^T X(t)\phi_m(t)^* dt$$

• Equivalent representations: $\{X(t)\}_{t=0}^T \iff X_1 X_2 \cdots$

Mutual information for random waveforms

• The average mutual information between $\{X(t)\}_{t=0}^{T}$ and $\{Y(t)\}_{t=0}^{T}$ is [Gallager, 1968]

$$I\left(\{X(t)\}_{t=0}^{T};\{Y(t)\}_{t=0}^{T}\right) = \lim_{n\to\infty}I\left(X_{1}\cdots X_{n};Y_{1}\cdots Y_{n}\right)$$

(if it exists)

Mutual information for random waveforms

• The average mutual information between $\{X(t)\}_{t=0}^{T}$ and $\{Y(t)\}_{t=0}^{T}$ is [Gallager, 1968]

$$I\left(\{X(t)\}_{t=0}^{T};\{Y(t)\}_{t=0}^{T}\right) = \lim_{n\to\infty}I\left(X_{1}\cdots X_{n};Y_{1}\cdots Y_{n}\right)$$

(if it exists)

۲

 $X_m = \int_0^T X(t)\phi_m(t)^* dt, \qquad Y_m = \int_0^T Y(t)\phi_m(t)^* dt$

• Input-output relation:

$$Y(t) = X(t)e^{j\Theta(t)} + W(t)$$

• Input-output relation:

$$Y(t) = X(t)e^{j\Theta(t)} + W(t)$$

• Choose an incomplete orthonormal basis as

$$\phi_m(t) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\Delta}} \operatorname{rect}\left(\frac{t - m\Delta + \Delta/2}{\Delta}\right), \qquad m = 1 \dots n, \quad \Delta = T/n$$

• Input-output relation:

$$Y(t) = X(t)e^{j\Theta(t)} + W(t)$$

• Choose an incomplete orthonormal basis as

$$\phi_m(t) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\Delta}} \operatorname{rect}\left(\frac{t - m\Delta + \Delta/2}{\Delta}\right), \qquad m = 1 \dots n, \quad \Delta = T/n$$

$$Y_m = \int_0^T Y(t)\phi_m(t)^* dt = \int_{(m-1)\Delta}^{m\Delta} \frac{Y(t)}{\sqrt{\Delta}} dt$$
$$= X_m \int_{(m-1)\Delta}^{m\Delta} \frac{e^{j\Theta(t)}}{\Delta} dt + W_m$$

• Discretized model:

$$Y_m = X_m \int_{(m-1)\Delta}^{m\Delta} \frac{e^{j\Theta(t)}}{\Delta} dt + W_m$$

• Discretized model:

$$Y_m = X_m \int_{(m-1)\Delta}^{m\Delta} \frac{e^{j\Theta(t)}}{\Delta} dt + W_m$$

• Both amplitude fading and phase noise!

• Discretized model:

$$Y_m = X_m \int_{(m-1)\Delta}^{m\Delta} rac{e^{j\Theta(t)}}{\Delta} dt + W_m$$

- Both amplitude fading and phase noise!
- Commonly used discrete-time phase noise channel model:

$$Y_m = X_m e^{j\Theta_m} + W_m, \qquad \Theta_m = \Theta((m-1)\Delta)$$

• Discretized model:

$$Y_m = X_m \int_{(m-1)\Delta}^{m\Delta} rac{e^{j\Theta(t)}}{\Delta} dt + W_m$$

- Both amplitude fading and phase noise!
- Commonly used discrete-time phase noise channel model:

$$Y_m = X_m e^{j\Theta_m} + W_m, \qquad \Theta_m = \Theta((m-1)\Delta)$$

• How different are the two models in terms of capacity?

Outline



- 2 From continuous to discrete time
- 3 Finite Resolution Receivers
- 4 Capacity bounds

5 Conclusions





• How small should Δ be?



- How small should Δ be?
 - It depends on the statistics of $\{\Theta(t)\}$



How small should Δ be?

- It depends on the statistics of {Θ(t)}
- In general, as small as possible
Receivers with finite time resolution



- How small should Δ be?
 - It depends on the statistics of {Θ(t)}
 - In general, as small as possible
- Oversampling helps! $\Delta \searrow \Longrightarrow I(X_1 \cdots X_n; Y_1 \cdots Y_n) \nearrow$

Receivers with finite time resolution



- How small should Δ be?
 - It depends on the statistics of {Θ(t)}
 - In general, as small as possible
- Oversampling helps! $\Delta \searrow \Longrightarrow I(X_1 \cdots X_n; Y_1 \cdots Y_n) \nearrow$

Oversampled channel model

$$Y_{mL+\ell} = X_m F_{mL+\ell} + W_m, \quad \ell = 1, \dots, L$$
$$m = 1, \dots, n$$





Receivers with finite time resolution



- How small should Δ be?
 - It depends on the statistics of {Θ(t)}
 - In general, as small as possible
- Oversampling helps! $\Delta \searrow \Longrightarrow I(X_1 \cdots X_n; \mathbf{Y}_1 \cdots \mathbf{Y}_n) \nearrow$

- Widely used for modeling oscillators
- Also known as Brownian motion:

$$\Theta(t) = \Theta(0) + \gamma \int_0^t Z(t') dt'$$

- Widely used for modeling oscillators
- Also known as Brownian motion:

$$\Theta(t) = \Theta(0) + \gamma \int_0^t Z(t') dt'$$



- Widely used for modeling oscillators
- Also known as Brownian motion:

$$\Theta(t) = \Theta(0) + \gamma \int_0^t Z(t') dt'$$

where Z is a white Gaussian process

• Variance increases: $\Theta(t) \sim \mathcal{N}(0, \gamma^2 t)$

- Widely used for modeling oscillators
- Also known as Brownian motion:

$$\Theta(t) = \Theta(0) + \gamma \int_0^t Z(t') dt'$$

- Variance increases: $\Theta(t) \sim \mathcal{N}(0, \gamma^2 t)$
- Samples are not independent: E [Θ(t)Θ(s)] = γ² min{t,s}

- Widely used for modeling oscillators
- Also known as Brownian motion:

$$\Theta(t) = \Theta(0) + \gamma \int_0^t Z(t') dt'$$

- Variance increases: $\Theta(t) \sim \mathcal{N}(0, \gamma^2 t)$
- Samples are not independent: $E[\Theta(t)\Theta(s)] = \gamma^2 \min\{t, s\}$
- Process with memory!

- Widely used for modeling oscillators
- Also known as Brownian motion:

$$\Theta(t) = \Theta(0) + \gamma \int_0^t Z(t') dt'$$

- Variance increases: $\Theta(t) \sim \mathcal{N}(0, \gamma^2 t)$
- Samples are not independent: $E[\Theta(t)\Theta(s)] = \gamma^2 \min\{t, s\}$
- Process with memory!
- Oversampling, i.e. L > 1, increases information rates

Wiener phase noise channel Define $\Theta_k = \Theta((k-1)\Delta)$ and $N_k \sim \mathcal{N}(0,1)$:

$$\Theta_k = \Theta_{k-1} + \gamma \sqrt{\Delta} N_k$$

Wiener phase noise channel Define $\Theta_k = \Theta((k-1)\Delta)$ and $N_k \sim \mathcal{N}(0,1)$:

$$\Theta_k = \Theta_{k-1} + \gamma \sqrt{\Delta} N_k$$

$$Y_{mL+\ell} = X_m e^{j\Theta_{mL+\ell}} \int_{(mL+\ell-1)\Delta}^{(mL+\ell)\Delta} \frac{e^{j(\Theta(t)-\Theta_{mL+\ell})}}{\Delta} \,\mathrm{d}t + W_{mL+\ell}$$

Wiener phase noise channel Define $\Theta_k = \Theta((k-1)\Delta)$ and $N_k \sim \mathcal{N}(0,1)$: $\Theta_k = \Theta_{k-1} + \gamma \sqrt{\Delta} N_k$ $Y_{mL+\ell} = X_m e^{j\Theta_{mL+\ell}} \int_{(mL+\ell-1)\Delta}^{(mL+\ell)\Delta} \frac{e^{j(\Theta(t)-\Theta_{mL+\ell})}}{\Delta} dt + W_{mL+\ell}$



Contour plot of the unnormalized fading pdf for $\Delta = 6$ and $\gamma = 1$. (Y. Wang *et al.*, TCOM 2006, vol. 54, no. 5)

• Let us study the capacity of a simpler model

$$\Theta_k = \Theta_{k-1} + \gamma \sqrt{\Delta} N_k$$

$$Y_{mL+\ell} = X_m e^{j\Theta_{mL+\ell}} + W_{mL+\ell}$$

• Let us study the capacity of a simpler model

$$\Theta_k = \Theta_{k-1} + \gamma \sqrt{\Delta} N_k$$

$$Y_{mL+\ell} = X_m e^{j\Theta_{mL+\ell}} + W_{mL+\ell}$$

$$\lim_{T\to\infty}\frac{1}{T}\int_0^T |X(t)|^2 \,\mathrm{d}t \le P$$

• Let us study the capacity of a simpler model

$$\Theta_{k} = \Theta_{k-1} + \gamma \sqrt{\Delta} N_{k}$$
$$Y_{mL+\ell} = X_{m} e^{j \Theta_{mL+\ell}} + W_{mL+\ell}$$

$$\mathsf{E}\left[\frac{1}{T}\int_0^T |X(t)|^2 \,\mathrm{d}t\right] \le P$$

• Let us study the capacity of a simpler model

$$\Theta_{k} = \Theta_{k-1} + \gamma \sqrt{\Delta} N_{k}$$
$$Y_{mL+\ell} = X_{m} e^{j \Theta_{mL+\ell}} + W_{mL+\ell}$$

$$\mathsf{E}\left[\frac{1}{T}\int_{0}^{T}|X(t)|^{2}\,\mathrm{d}t
ight]\leq P\quad\Longrightarrow\quad\mathsf{E}\left[|X_{m}|^{2}
ight]\leq P\Delta$$

• Let us study the capacity of a simpler model

$$\Theta_{k} = \Theta_{k-1} + \gamma \sqrt{\Delta} N_{k}$$
$$Y_{mL+\ell} = X_{m} e^{j\Theta_{mL+\ell}} + W_{mL+\ell}$$

$$\mathsf{E}\left[\frac{1}{T}\int_{0}^{T}|X(t)|^{2}\,\mathrm{d}t\right] \leq P \implies \mathsf{E}\left[|X_{m}|^{2}\right] \leq P\Delta$$
$$\mathcal{C}(P,\Delta,\gamma) = \lim_{n\to\infty} \sup_{F_{X_{m}}: \mathrm{E}\left[|X_{m}|^{2}\right] \leq P\Delta} \frac{1}{n}I\left(X_{1}\cdots X_{n}; \mathbf{Y}_{1}\cdots \mathbf{Y}_{n}\right)$$

Outline



- 2 From continuous to discrete time
- 3 Finite Resolution Receivers
- 4 Capacity bounds

5 Conclusions

Challenges:

• Channel with memory

Challenges:

- Channel with memory
- How to deal with oversampling?

Challenges:

- Channel with memory
- How to deal with oversampling?
- Unknown optimal input distribution

Challenges:

- Channel with memory
- How to deal with oversampling?
- Unknown optimal input distribution

We will see how to:

• Get rid of the memory

Challenges:

- Channel with memory
- How to deal with oversampling?
- Unknown optimal input distribution

We will see how to:

- Get rid of the memory
- Compute an upper bound to capacity

Challenges:

- Channel with memory
- How to deal with oversampling?
- Unknown optimal input distribution

We will see how to:

- Get rid of the memory
- Compute an upper bound to capacity
- We assume iid X_m 's with $\underline{/X_m} \sim \mathcal{U}[0,2\pi)$

A capacity upper bound Define $X_1^n = X_1 \cdots X_n$

$$\frac{1}{n}I(X_1^n;\mathbf{Y}_1^n) = \frac{1}{n}\sum_{m=1}^n I(X_1^n;\mathbf{Y}_m | \mathbf{Y}_1^{m-1})$$

A capacity upper bound Define $X_1^n = X_1 \cdots X_n$

$$\frac{1}{n} I(X_1^n; \mathbf{Y}_1^n) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{m=1}^n I(X_1^n; \mathbf{Y}_m | \mathbf{Y}_1^{m-1})$$

(DPI) $\leq \frac{1}{n} \sum_{m=1}^n I(X_1^n, \Theta_{mL+1}; \mathbf{Y}_m | \mathbf{Y}_1^{m-1})$

A capacity upper bound Define $X_1^n = X_1 \cdots X_n$

$$\frac{1}{n} I(X_{1}^{n}; \mathbf{Y}_{1}^{n}) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{m=1}^{n} I(X_{1}^{n}; \mathbf{Y}_{m} | \mathbf{Y}_{1}^{m-1})$$

$$(\mathsf{DPI}) \leq \frac{1}{n} \sum_{m=1}^{n} I(X_{1}^{n}; \Theta_{mL+1}; \mathbf{Y}_{m} | \mathbf{Y}_{1}^{m-1})$$

$$= \frac{1}{n} \sum_{m=1}^{n} I(X_{1}^{n}; \mathbf{Y}_{m} | \Theta_{mL+1}, \mathbf{Y}_{1}^{m-1}) + I(\Theta_{mL+1}; \mathbf{Y}_{m} | \mathbf{Y}_{1}^{m-1})$$

A capacity upper bound Define $X_1^n = X_1 \cdots X_n$ $\frac{1}{n}I(X_{1}^{n};\mathbf{Y}_{1}^{n}) = \frac{1}{n}\sum_{n}^{''}I(X_{1}^{n};\mathbf{Y}_{m} | \mathbf{Y}_{1}^{m-1})$ $\left(\mathsf{DPI}\right) \leq \frac{1}{n} \sum^{n} I\left(X_{1}^{n}, \Theta_{mL+1}; \mathbf{Y}_{m} \,|\, \mathbf{Y}_{1}^{m-1}\right)$ $=\frac{1}{n}\sum_{l=1}^{n}I\left(X_{1}^{n};\mathbf{Y}_{m}\mid\Theta_{mL+1},\mathbf{Y}_{1}^{m-1}\right)+I\left(\Theta_{mL+1};\mathbf{Y}_{m}\mid\mathbf{Y}_{1}^{m-1}\right)$ $(\mathsf{Markov}) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{m=1}^{m} I(X_m; \mathbf{Y}_m | \Theta_{mL+1}) + I(\Theta_{mL+1}; \mathbf{Y}_m | \mathbf{Y}_1^{m-1})$

A capacity upper bound Define $X_1^n = X_1 \cdots X_n$ $\frac{1}{n}I(X_{1}^{n};\mathbf{Y}_{1}^{n}) = \frac{1}{n}\sum_{n}^{n}I(X_{1}^{n};\mathbf{Y}_{m} | \mathbf{Y}_{1}^{m-1})$ $(\mathsf{DPI}) \leq \frac{1}{n} \sum_{n=1}^{n} I\left(X_{1}^{n}, \Theta_{mL+1}; \mathbf{Y}_{m} \mid \mathbf{Y}_{1}^{m-1}\right)$ $=\frac{1}{n}\sum^{n}I\left(X_{1}^{n};\mathbf{Y}_{m} \mid \Theta_{mL+1},\mathbf{Y}_{1}^{m-1}\right)+I\left(\Theta_{mL+1};\mathbf{Y}_{m} \mid \mathbf{Y}_{1}^{m-1}\right)$ $(\mathsf{Markov}) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{m=1}^{m} I(X_m; \mathbf{Y}_m | \Theta_{mL+1}) + I(\Theta_{mL+1}; \mathbf{Y}_m | \mathbf{Y}_1^{m-1})$ (Stationary) = $I(X_1; \mathbf{Y}_1 | \Theta_{L+1}) + \frac{1}{n} \sum_{l=1}^{n} I(\Theta_{mL+1}; \mathbf{Y}_m | \mathbf{Y}_1^{m-1})$

A capacity upper bound Define $X_1^n = X_1 \cdots X_n$ $\frac{1}{n}I(X_{1}^{n};\mathbf{Y}_{1}^{n}) = \frac{1}{n}\sum_{n}^{n}I(X_{1}^{n};\mathbf{Y}_{m} | \mathbf{Y}_{1}^{m-1})$ $(\mathsf{DPI}) \leq \frac{1}{n} \sum_{n=1}^{n} I\left(X_{1}^{n}, \Theta_{mL+1}; \mathbf{Y}_{m} \mid \mathbf{Y}_{1}^{m-1}\right)$ $=\frac{1}{n}\sum^{n}I\left(X_{1}^{n};\mathbf{Y}_{m} \mid \Theta_{mL+1},\mathbf{Y}_{1}^{m-1}\right)+I\left(\Theta_{mL+1};\mathbf{Y}_{m} \mid \mathbf{Y}_{1}^{m-1}\right)$ $(\mathsf{Markov}) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{m=1}^{n} I(X_m; \mathbf{Y}_m | \Theta_{mL+1}) + I(\Theta_{mL+1}; \mathbf{Y}_m | \mathbf{Y}_1^{m-1})$ $(\text{Stationary}) = I(X_1; \mathbf{Y}_1 | \Theta_{L+1}) + \frac{1}{n} \sum_{l=1}^{n} I(\Theta_{mL+1}; \mathbf{Y}_m | \mathbf{Y}_1^{m-1})$

Assumption: iid X_m 's with $\underline{X_m} \sim \mathcal{U}[0, 2\pi)$

Assumption: iid X_m 's with $/X_m \sim \mathcal{U}[0, 2\pi)$

$$I\left(\Theta_{mL+1}; \mathbf{Y}_{m} \mid \mathbf{Y}_{1}^{m-1}\right)$$
$$(\mathsf{DPI}) \leq I\left(\Theta_{mL+1}; \Theta_{mL+1} \oplus \underline{X_{m}} \oplus \gamma \sqrt{\Delta} N_{mL+1} \mid \mathbf{Y}_{1}^{m-1}\right)$$

Assumption: iid X_m 's with $/X_m \sim \mathcal{U}[0, 2\pi)$

$$I\left(\Theta_{mL+1}; \mathbf{Y}_{m} \mid \mathbf{Y}_{1}^{m-1}\right)$$

(DPI) $\leq I\left(\Theta_{mL+1}; \Theta_{mL+1} \oplus \underline{X}_{m} \oplus \gamma \sqrt{\Delta} N_{mL+1} \mid \mathbf{Y}_{1}^{m-1}\right)$
= 0

Assumption: iid X_m 's with $/X_m \sim \mathcal{U}[0, 2\pi)$

$$I\left(\Theta_{mL+1}; \mathbf{Y}_{m} \mid \mathbf{Y}_{1}^{m-1}\right)$$

(DPI) $\leq I\left(\Theta_{mL+1}; \Theta_{mL+1} \oplus \underline{X_{m}} \oplus \gamma \sqrt{\Delta} N_{mL+1} \mid \mathbf{Y}_{1}^{m-1}\right)$
= 0

$$\frac{1}{n}I\left(X_{1}^{n};\mathbf{Y}_{1}^{n}\right) \leq I\left(X_{1};\mathbf{Y}_{1} \mid \Theta_{L+1}\right)$$

Polar decomposition

$$I(X_{1}; \mathbf{Y}_{1} | \Theta_{L+1}) = I(|X_{1}|, \underline{X_{1}}; \mathbf{Y}_{1} | \Theta_{L+1})$$

= $\underbrace{I(|X_{1}|; \mathbf{Y}_{1} | \Theta_{L+1})}_{\text{amplitude mod.}} + \underbrace{I(\underline{X_{1}}; \mathbf{Y}_{1} | \Theta_{L+1}, |X_{1}|)}_{\text{phase mod.}}$
Polar decomposition

$$I(X_{1}; \mathbf{Y}_{1} | \Theta_{L+1}) = I(|X_{1}|, \underline{X_{1}}; \mathbf{Y}_{1} | \Theta_{L+1})$$

= $\underbrace{I(|X_{1}|; \mathbf{Y}_{1} | \Theta_{L+1})}_{\text{amplitude mod.}} + \underbrace{I(\underline{X_{1}}; \mathbf{Y}_{1} | \Theta_{L+1}, |X_{1}|)}_{\text{phase mod.}}$

• Different bounding techniques are used for the two terms

Polar decomposition

$$I(X_{1}; \mathbf{Y}_{1} | \Theta_{L+1}) = I(|X_{1}|, \underline{X_{1}}; \mathbf{Y}_{1} | \Theta_{L+1})$$

= $\underbrace{I(|X_{1}|; \mathbf{Y}_{1} | \Theta_{L+1})}_{\text{amplitude mod.}} + \underbrace{I(\underline{X_{1}}; \mathbf{Y}_{1} | \Theta_{L+1}, |X_{1}|)}_{\text{phase mod.}}$

• Different bounding techniques are used for the two terms

• Reveal all phase noise samples to the receiver: amplitude mod. on AWGN channel

$$\mathcal{C} \leq \frac{1}{2} \log \left(1 + \frac{P}{2}\right)$$

Polar decomposition

$$I(X_{1}; \mathbf{Y}_{1} | \Theta_{L+1}) = I(|X_{1}|, \underline{X_{1}}; \mathbf{Y}_{1} | \Theta_{L+1})$$

= $\underbrace{I(|X_{1}|; \mathbf{Y}_{1} | \Theta_{L+1})}_{\text{amplitude mod.}} + \underbrace{I(\underline{X_{1}}; \mathbf{Y}_{1} | \Theta_{L+1}, |X_{1}|)}_{\text{phase mod.}}$

• Different bounding techniques are used for the two terms

- Reveal all phase noise samples to the receiver: amplitude mod. on AWGN channel
- Application of the I-MMSE formula to the phase mod. term

$$\mathcal{C} \leq rac{1}{2}\log\left(1+rac{P}{2}
ight) + \log(2\pi) + rac{1}{2}\log\left(P\Delta\left(\sqrt{1+rac{4}{\gamma^2P\Delta^2}}-1
ight)
ight)$$

1

Degrees of freedom

Define the degrees of freedom as

$$D(\alpha) = \lim_{P \to \infty} \frac{\mathcal{C}(P, \Delta = P^{-\alpha}, \gamma)}{\log(P)}$$

Degrees of freedom

Define the degrees of freedom as

$$D(\alpha) = \lim_{P \to \infty} \frac{\mathcal{C}(P, \Delta = P^{-\alpha}, \gamma)}{\log(P)}$$



Outline



- 2 From continuous to discrete time
- 3 Finite Resolution Receivers
- 4 Capacity bounds



 $\bullet\,$ The capacity pre-log depends on the growth rate of receiver's time resolution $\Delta\,$

- $\bullet\,$ The capacity pre-log depends on the growth rate of receiver's time resolution $\Delta\,$
- If $\Delta \sim 1/\sqrt{P},$ an asymptotic capacity pre-log of 0.75 can be achieved, but not surpassed

- $\bullet\,$ The capacity pre-log depends on the growth rate of receiver's time resolution $\Delta\,$
- If $\Delta \sim 1/\sqrt{P},$ an asymptotic capacity pre-log of 0.75 can be achieved, but not surpassed
- \bullet Even with infinite time resolution $\mathcal{C}_{\mathsf{PN}} < \mathcal{C}_{\mathsf{AWGN}}$

- $\bullet\,$ The capacity pre-log depends on the growth rate of receiver's time resolution $\Delta\,$
- If $\Delta \sim 1/\sqrt{P},$ an asymptotic capacity pre-log of 0.75 can be achieved, but not surpassed
- \bullet Even with infinite time resolution $\mathcal{C}_{\mathsf{PN}} < \mathcal{C}_{\mathsf{AWGN}}$
 - For any $\gamma > 0!$ Even for very high-quality oscillators!

- $\bullet\,$ The capacity pre-log depends on the growth rate of receiver's time resolution $\Delta\,$
- If $\Delta \sim 1/\sqrt{P},$ an asymptotic capacity pre-log of 0.75 can be achieved, but not surpassed
- \bullet Even with infinite time resolution $\mathcal{C}_{\mathsf{PN}} < \mathcal{C}_{\mathsf{AWGN}}$
 - For any $\gamma > 0!$ Even for very high-quality oscillators!
- Conjectures:

- $\bullet\,$ The capacity pre-log depends on the growth rate of receiver's time resolution $\Delta\,$
- If $\Delta \sim 1/\sqrt{P},$ an asymptotic capacity pre-log of 0.75 can be achieved, but not surpassed
- \bullet Even with infinite time resolution $\mathcal{C}_{\mathsf{PN}} < \mathcal{C}_{\mathsf{AWGN}}$
 - For any $\gamma > 0!$ Even for very high-quality oscillators!
- Conjectures:
 - Simplifying the model by discarding the amplitude fading is too much

- $\bullet\,$ The capacity pre-log depends on the growth rate of receiver's time resolution $\Delta\,$
- If $\Delta \sim 1/\sqrt{P},$ an asymptotic capacity pre-log of 0.75 can be achieved, but not surpassed
- \bullet Even with infinite time resolution $\mathcal{C}_{\mathsf{PN}} < \mathcal{C}_{\mathsf{AWGN}}$
 - For any $\gamma > 0!$ Even for very high-quality oscillators!
- Conjectures:
 - Simplifying the model by discarding the amplitude fading is too much
 - The fundamental tension between additive noise and phase noise limits the degrees of freedom

Thanks for your attention!

Derivation of the average power constraint

$$\lim_{T \to \infty} \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T |X(t)|^2 dt = \mathsf{E} \left[\frac{1}{T} \int_0^T |X(t)|^2 dt \right]$$
$$= \mathsf{E} \left[\frac{1}{T} \int_0^T \left| \sum_{m=1}^n X_m \sum_{\ell=1}^L \phi_{mL+\ell}(t) \right|^2 dt \right]$$
$$(\text{orthogonality}) = \mathsf{E} \left[\frac{1}{T} \sum_{m=1}^n \sum_{\ell=1}^L \int_{(mL+\ell-1)\Delta}^{(mL+\ell)\Delta} \frac{|X_m|^2}{\Delta} dt \right]$$
$$= \frac{Ln}{T} \mathsf{E} \left[|X_m|^2 \right]$$
$$= \frac{1}{\Delta} \mathsf{E} \left[|X_m|^2 \right] \le P$$